App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Mur 317 30 - 172

1930-31
(Mezzotint

File No. 317-30
Serial Nos. 384

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

---

* *Serial Nos.* 9

Part B for August 31

SUBJECT.
Procession of Sri Chelluvaraya Swami up the hill at Melkote

To. Mur Cour. G.O.No 211-Dy 6.8.31.

Records the M.'s report on the subject of

Muz 317-30

PROCEEDINGS ... 2 ...

D.No.113 29-7-31

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 23rd Jul ..... *1931*.

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

SECRETARIAT
28 JULY 1931

To.

Rajasevaparayana
B.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,

.....F i n a n c i a l ...*Department.*

(1) May be recorded. After submission to the member in charge of papers

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.F.L.8/ dated Camp Mysore 30th May 1931 in regard to the taking of the idol of Sri Cheluvaraya - Swamy in procession up the hill in Melkote, I have the honor to forward herewith for the information of Government the connected records ---- received with letter No.J.3.936/30 dated 24th June 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District. The facts of the case are given in the Deputy Commissioner's order dated 29-5-1931 permitting the procession to be so taken, copy of which is enclosed in the file.

It will be seen from the above order that on a petition --- presented by Mr.Shama Iyengar requesting for necessary permission in this matter, the Dharmadarsis of the temple were consulted who have opined that there was no objection in the procession being so taken. The Deputy Commissioner also consulted Sri Parakalaswamigalu in the matter who has stated that there was no shastraic objection to such a procession. The Deputy Commissioner seems to have based his order on these opinions. In view of the fact that there was some local opposition to this proposal, and that also that there had not been such a procession ever before, he may perhaps have obtained the sanction of higher ---- authorities before granting the permission applied for. However, the procession was stayed in accordance with your letter to him on the -- subject. The proposed procession is no doubt against mamool but in view of the opinions of the authorities consulted by the Deputy ---- Commissioner, there may not be any serious objection to have permitted it as it is only a procession to another temple. But as there is --

(2) Quite so

No. 890 -2-

as there is opposition to it however factious it may be, it is better to drop the procession. I may add that the pa did not approach me in the first instance for redress.

This was the proper procedure. Apparently this knowledge to the Member has been kept for him h

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd/ Illegible

Kuzrai Commissioner.

Mug 317-30-2 called for in para(1) of the Secretary's orders on the P.W.D. to form up. & Submit.

a D.O. recording the papers is also submitted

Sd/ 28/7/1931

31

First Member) VS 31.7.31

No. 1990 - X.

Mug 2.8.31

Issue VS 3.8.31

G.O. no 211 of 6.8.1931 recording the report stated therein.

RS 19/8/31

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter No.1838/30-31 dated 27th July 1931, from the Muzrai Commissioner, stating that before according permission to the taking of the idol of Sri Cheluvarayaswami in procession up the hill in Melkote on 30th May last, the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, consulted the Dharmadarsis of the temple and the Sri Parakalaswamigalu who opined that there was no objection to such a procession but that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District might have got the sanction of higher authorities before granting the permission applied for in view of the fact that there was some local opposition to the proposal and also of the fact of there having been no such procession ever before and that in his opinion it is better the procession which is against custom is not permitted.

ORDER NO. Misc. 211/317-30-4 Secl. Dept.

Dated, Bangalore, the 4th/6th August 1931.

Recorded.

V Subrahmanyasastri

For Financial Secretary to Government.

To RS

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, returning the records.

M.I.R. 2.
4/8.

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT ... .

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 6/8/31

Signed by... W. S. Saston.

May this be

(i) Printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sec. Mee 3

File No: 317-30

Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 1838/30-31 dt 27.7.31 from the D.C. Stating that before according permission to the taking out of idol of ~~Order Thereon~~ No. Sri Chelluvaraya-swami in procession the title in dispute on 30-5-1931 the D.C Mysore Consulted the Dharmadarsis of the temple and the Sri Parakala Swamigalu who opined that there was no objection

but
~~a~~ dare
may perhaps
~~have~~ have
obtained the sanction

of higher authorities
before granting the permission
applied for in view of
the fact that there was
some local opposition
to the proposal and also
of the fact of there having
been no known
procession ever before
and ~~further stating~~ there in his opinion
it is better the procession
which is no doubt a quiet mamool
was dropped as there
is opposition to it.

order no 2111-D 6/8/31 Encl Encl file.

Recorded.

VS
31.7.31

for see to Govt.

To

The M.C. returning the Records

GS
2077

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by